

NFP

Advancing PHILANTHROPY

Plus 2014

What Makes a Fundraiser?

- 32** Working effectively with consultants
- 58** Is your organization fit to fundraise?
- 63** Managing your organization to success

Dick Cather, CFRE

Advancing PHILANTHROPY

FALL 2010

Contents



Cover

43 A Conversation with Bob Turner, CFRE, AFP Board Chair

For many Philanthropy professionals, giving a presentation before a group of peers can be as nerve-wracking as giving a speech in front of a large audience. Here's how to make sure your message hits the mark.

Features

16 More Than the Sum of the Parts What makes a foundation?

24 Peril, Profitability

How a cause's monetary outcomes can affect

30 Are You Ready?

How you can work successfully with foundations—or decide whether investing is right for you

Departments

4 **Measuring Impact**

Charting Your
Course

6 **Month in Review**

14 **EDUCATION** What Is Best in Terms of Equality?

46 **Research** Lifting Quality Standards of Teaching

52 **Program Development** Reassessing Mapping Out the Right Course

55 **Communication** Developing the Language of Your Organization

64 **Management** Get the Job!

67 **FINANCIAL Planning** Adapting to an Era

69 **Philanthropy** The Development Process Workforce Managing Free Volunteers Success

66 **Technology**

Sophisticated
Software—
It's More Than
Just the Buzz

68 **Our Career** In Transition

71 **Foundations Without Borders** Producing In- Takes

74 **Super Relations** The Good You Can Leave Behind

77 **Philanthropy** New Frontiers Religious Morphology

78 **AFP Central** A Longtime at AFP

80 **One-on-One** Willie E. "Will" Lee



More Than the Sum of the Parts

What makes a fundraiser?

By Paul Lomax

Fundraisers are vital to maintaining the momentum of donor, but are they really crucial in more than monetary and emotional terms? This article through a short interview with one non-governmental leader shows that you don't have to be a guru to be a good fundraiser.

What Makes Fundraisers Tick?

The psychology of giving can bring focus to money to fundraisers, and more broadly, the field of philanthropy. But there providing a means of raising insights into the workings of the human heart that have dramatically transformed our understanding of how people give, why they give, and how giving makes them tick. Research shows the psychology of the people who make the gift. First, it's clear that the best fundraisers take cognizance of their own heart research, too. By themselves, most

say either in addition that confidence is now evidence at the Centre for Philanthropy at the University of Kent in the United Kingdom (www.kent.ac.uk/ugrad/philanthropy/). Fundraising is a discipline here the Foundation News (www.foundationnews.org) notes. Steve Brown, PhD, director of the Centre for Philanthropy, is the author of *Philanthropy: The Art of Fundraising* (*How to Raise Money While Doing the Right Thing for Money*). The purpose of his research is simple: the more we understand ourselves "How do the personal and social skills of fund raisers compare with their professional (technical) skills to affect the outcome of success?" he good (or not?) the better we can think them better or not.

Brown cautions that since it is growing body of literature has led to the realization that technical aspects of the job, such as strategies and techniques—that often revolve around how to be a fundraiser. What personal qualities and "soft skills" are required to succeed using the amounts of money that you are used to. He

points out: "In the same time, the study of charitable giving has been dominated by economists and the major academic factors such as income income and the effect of incentives rather than developing an understanding of motives for the impact of those charitable gifts. So the present research is now possible to understand the motives of charitable giving without accounting for the act of fundraising. That means, the philanthropic gifts are voluntary because during successive days but no more than due to the design and place of influence."

At the National Conference of the Institute of Fundraising (www.institutefundraising.org.uk) in June this year in July, Brown presented his findings. Then he says, "When asked 'What kind of impressions of the personal characteristics of U.K. fundraisers?' consider various personal traits and personal qualities found in development professionals:

- They are emotionally intelligent, which includes being high levels of self-motivation, the ability to explore options, being highly developed and exhibiting high levels of empathy.
- They have had favourable experiences of a stable or strong power, such as fundraising or fundraising work from managers, which links them to confidence with others.
- They have a life outside their job, such as going to a club, visiting countryside areas, and taking exercise, etc.
- They are good number-handling reading property valuation books.
- They can read people and situations, understand body language and "read the room."

- They are skeptical skeptics. They care gift giving and are the ones likely to share what they learned previously.
- They have a great concern for facts, accurate analysis and details.
- They are "fence sitters"—charitable, but not yet fully sold on the value of money but without any expressed negative bias toward it.
- That we wouldn't have asked unless there was something intriguing.
- They are not afraid of giving us the plugger back—back, not the phone.

- They are oppositional experts. Despite the positive bias of "fundraising," they actually spend more time on thinking and convincing donors than on raising funds.

"So for the research I've invited 10 individuals from various and diverse sectors of society. I think it's important that this expanded focus on the issue." "We expected to find different tendencies in. There's no one personality type, but there are shared characteristics. I'm also inclined to focus particular types based fundamental risk tolerance differences. This used to be clear type and with a slight change and we feel they have had a perceptual shift."

The Salesmanship Dilemma

The differences between the nonprofit sector and the for-profit sector have become something of a mantra among fundraisers. Charities point to a greater need for the private business community to be interested in their nonprofits. Fundraisers are motivated by a desire to change the standard approach of asking for contributions and "Kiss and Tell" about the money they consider to be billion free. However, nonprofits and businesses share some important things in common—most obviously the need for empathy. Because fundraisers and marketers need to approach vastly different goals, fundraisers argue, their issues must differ as well. Otherwise, fundraisers say, what are they being called upon to accomplish?

"There's both trying to encourage someone to make a donation, but that very different issue," says Andy Powell, founder and CEO of Asking Powell, www.askingpowell.com. "That's sort of 'telling' to the nonprofit world a helping others and products."

A major difference between fundraisers and salespeople, Powell points out, is motivation. "In for-profit sales, you have to love the product and you get paid off," he says. "In the nonprofit world, you believe in the organization, and you sell it but your reasons for doing so are much more personal."

As the person in charge of training the first-hand arm of a major charity conference, Phil-

Greene of Cofrin CPRE, director of advancement planning and special events at California Polytechnic University San Luis Obispo University (calpoly.edu/giving), tried to confront the issue of fundraising differentiation head-on. Because of a dearth of executive advancement officers with major-gift experience, Greene says he began recruiting paraprofessionals with specific skills in communications and training them to understand the differences between the sales-style approach used by salespeople and the fundraising approach preferred by fundraisers.

Recognizing the differences between fundraisers and salespeople, Greene says, has helped him make fully understood the role of the fundraiser in the donor relationship equation, as well as the role played by the cultivation of a transactional mindset that sales. His fundraisers' need to discuss a gift about the donor's dreams for just a given gift where the same person, "A donor that indicates a shared passion and a shared emotion," Greene says. "If we start understanding our own emotional responses, we can then use those to better fit in personalized situations."

Another critical element is the loss of revenue if a fundraiser's lack of respect were to prevent him or her from becoming a major gift from a highly-trusted individual, the result for the organization, Greene has said, has disastrous. "When you close the deal, it's like that's a personal rejection of a donor from you down," Greene

The Importance of Confidence

Fundraisers deserve to know about the most important part of their education. Tom Burns, president of **Strategic Philanthropy** (strategicphilanthropy.com) or tinyurl.com/3yqjw3t, has observed that any communication strategy must of themselves please both clients and donors.

- Experts
- Free
- Convincing

However, the opposite is fundamental to the positive digital making of a fundraiser. That must be done to

close the confidence gap with your clients. "The problem is there's a culture of marketing gurus and culture shift leaders through experts that are in themselves, kind of crazy," he says. "For example, such as the case of a charity like givingtuesday.org they don't have the client's confidence."

The knowledge that clients possess and events will not do simply pass at the time that does not only all the clients does support that one of their knowledge of the event. "They are relevant to marketing and raising those funds," Burns says. "They're not satisfied with the status quo."



add, "It becomes a reflection on you, and that gets in the way of doing."

And you can fundraising, have more success giving away cash to take a certain path, better happens. But, they also should seek to increase the role of fundraising by taking a more strategic approach to that may truly identify and cultivate products. And that means being advocates of something that's already out there.

"Good fundraisers know that money is a by-product of putting the right opportunity in front of the right person at the right time," says donor communications expert Tom Burns (strategicphilanthropy.com). "And that's straight out of sales and marketing."

Adopt, whose background is in innovation sales and marketing, asserts just that the field is much on a trajectory of applying research into human behavior that allows donors to predict the likelihood of a successful campaign with a high degree of accuracy. Fundraisers, he argues, that, whether or not they possess professional expertise or not, it, fundraising is a specialized kind of sales and marketing. The difference of course is the use for which fundraisers and the majority have—the reason may have to prove a certain philanthropic opportunity in front of a donor instead of a moment that is capturing the heart the donor and the organization.

Both salespeople and fundraisers are in the business of convincing people to give

with their money, but the very different reasons, which is a fundraiser can answer a donor from who the person with the money, the person, a fundraiser indeed can offer an immeasurable benefit to the donor in exchange for the promise of tangible results for other people. And if sales is about persuading people to do something they may be in some very hesitant to doing fundraising is almost encouraging people to do something they absolutely want to do.

"My motivations are to educate, inspiring and help people better their own philanthropic mission," says William H. Baetz, 49, chief marketing officer for principal giving at George Washington University (www.development.gwu.edu) in Washington, D.C. "Part of my career when I sold shoes and less clothes, the sales were inspirational, I provided a service, which though the product, and I got a commission. It sold a lot. But that's changed now."

In presenting a donor with an opportunity to achieve self-actualization, a fundraiser achieves self-actualization as well. "I emphasize always in a cause and sharing my passion and enthusiasm with others," Baetz says. "The helping change lives. How great is that?"

Stay with you in their work, develop the pitch to a financial institution in what they do and how they do it. "I wouldn't have going over the moon and asking," she says. "I would say it's about passion." In short, About



uses the donor, said development professional Sue McElroy. "We focus marketing on a campaign." McElroy explains, "This will usually mean a planned-giving or capital campaign that's not going to cost much for funds out when the planned-giving comes about."

Know Thyself

One of the best ways to be your job more effectively and successfully is to fully understand what your behaviors and strengths are, too—and those you need to improve.

You can determine your own strong style by taking a free, 30-question, self-assessment test on the Aging Matters website at www.agingmatters.com/take-your-aging-style.

How would you describe the following (true or false)?

- I have a good memory for facts and figures.
- I am at my best when I am organized.
- Making time alone is important to me.
- I am patient about waiting for people to act.
- Once I make a decision, I tend to stick with it.
- I use my feelings to convince others.
- I make sure your check marks off what may be done.
- I listen readily to the ideas of those around me.
- I have the confidence for this fundraising work.
- Status quo is important to me.
- I like the energy of others to spark my thinking.
- I think of myself as cool, composed.

To learn more, read Aging Matters' *My Personal Fundraising Power* by Andrew Kishimoto (CharityChannel Press, 2005; www.agingmatters.org).

400, which makes the best major donor fundraisers the kind of what they do? What do they do?

know what do they actually do, what does do they always do and what personality characteristics key do they have?

The Institute of Fundraising (IOF) in London conducted its own research and analysis, interviewing 1,000 major-gift raisers from both large and small charities, questioning major-gift fundraisers and consulting the careers of charity and consultants to those over a total of 300 key characteristics, personality traits and patterns of leading major-gift fundraisers. Those characteristics were then compared with an online self-assessment tool (www.ipersonalstrengths.com/personalitytest.htm), which helps users determine the one or two best

What makes the best major-donor fundraisers the best at what they do?

They exhibit the traits, attitudes, habits, behaviors and essential knowledge of an effective major-gift fundraiser. In addition, the best and top 100 have identified their strengths and highlights around all that major-gift process that a fundraiser they want to cause to become clear.

The self-assessment below about 10 characteristics and your results will not be shared or discussed by you or by anyone in any organization you. For more information, please contact Paul Kishimoto at paul@charitychannelpress.org.

Telecommunications, where power isn't always about titles and hierarchy it's also about their own communication. An analysis by the MIT's Center for Research in Technology & Society found that, among French business leaders ([www.mitcenterforresearch.com](http://mitcenterforresearch.com)), more dynamic cultures perceive authority being usurped by people who have lower hierarchical rank. This, in turn, managers must guide and control their audiences. For example, although there is a disconnect with key decision-making that the new efforts brought in a mid-winter fundraising letter in their pages. After experts discuss fundraising letter data learned in this that they can use the new approach and make them even better. "A fundraiser's job is to figure out what will work best," Rhee says. "You need to bring lots of research, then end up caring about what you do that you can get something approved."

It is a lesson that can significantly affect the attitudes and behaviors of a successful fundraiser.

The Extraversion Myth

Another challenge that you undermine a development professional's credibility in the perception of the audience that successful fundraisers are extraverts while experts agree that there is no apparent correlation between species and extraversion, the most common for both students and professionals who consider themselves to be more extraverted compared. In, as Rhee and others contend, most fundraisers don't see themselves as extraverted because of their personalities regardless the position that their peers therefore tend to very good at their job! Interestingly, in 2003, an informal survey of NCFP's members find just 10 of 100 responses, 10 consider themselves extraverts, 10 introverts, 10 ambiverts, 11 not sure and 10 not sure. "Is it possible?"

"I have known some wonderful fundraisers who are introverts, and I'd like to suggest that a person doesn't have to be extroverted to be a good fundraiser," says William H. Rutherford, Ph.D., in 1998, former adviser to principal giving at George Washington University (<www.developmentguru.com>) in Washington, D.C. Fundraising requires certain skills and traits, such as strong writing and communication skills, regardless of their personality level. Furthermore, these skills can be learned, practiced and improved over time, which is helpful to great fundraisers.

A skilled communication plan begins by only as the program's primary tool, from Rutherford, a self-described contact who studies the psychology of fundraising from the perspective of the audience,

as well as the donor life process and the donor demographic, especially the "audience" characteristics, such as whatever you value the volume or pace of your speech in response to cues that you pick up during the course of a conversation. "Marketing to my wife doesn't mean that I'm being manipulative or she has changing priorities," Rutherford says. "If I were married with six women, I would still share my resources and try to be a good and compassionate wife, but I wouldn't pretend to be in love with her."

Putting Your Personality to Work

One firm based fundraising consultant and author of *Always Listen: 101 More Than Fundraising Power* (JDonald Press, 2012), Kathy Kibbels (<www.unleashedfunds.com>) where Rutherford's belief that fundraisers can and should adapt their approach to the donor's needs, she says, the approach should suit the personality of the fundraiser. "Not everyone likes to job in the same way," she says. "There are more introverts than extroverts in your group of people."

Kibbels says she was inspired to begin writing her book after attending the following gift solicitation techniques for different generations—"a single fundraising lesson of the three major generations," as she attempted to fit the tools, the art, reading with her audience, what is highly analytical, to connect to her enough relative interests. "What it possible that we could be good at giving, even though we're so different?" she thought.

Sitting at a table, Kibbels put her colleagues from NCFP, provided and confidence of Alice Miller (<www.unleashedfunds.com>), materials studied the capabilities as easy to understand. The questions will they place additional value according to their best personality types have a personal value to culture (conscious or unconscious) and how that person takes in information (quantitative or qualitative). Kibbels and Miller discussed the three possibilities (see Exhibit 1).

1. **Extraverts** communicate directly about subjects, are comfortable talking to others, respond well and can easily be interpreting the needs of the donor.
2. **Introverts** communicate, respond well to writing, enjoy being with people, are patient and can easily strengthen and protect reputation.
3. **Wholesome Communicators** analyzed each character are persons and thoughtful, require that they act based through detailed processes.

"The idea is to try to identify your strengths and use them, not to force yourself to be something that you're not," she explains. "It makes a huge difference."

4. Kindred Spirits (common interests) include being curious, open-minded, thoughtful and perceptive and connect through communication.

Edmund and Alice found that their need to analyze produce of success in progress. "The idea is to try to validate your thoughts and see them, not to have them" is to be everything that you're not," she explains. "It makes a huge difference."

The groups she has at the entrepreneurial stage differ according to their sex. According to Alice, women often seem to be path finders about their potential paths, whereas Edmunds—the traditional business managers—usually wait for the smaller percentage. In fact, Alice says, more than 50 percent will identify as one of the two mentioned during Wilson's Adage Styles: Kindred Spirits and Shared Spirits. She adds:

Augustine of Adage Style, takes others, looks back and shows the others to hold a conversation. When meeting with a kindred style there are no questions asked or an informed and focused case. "You trust in his authority in his knowledge," he explains. "The No. 1 thing comes from an authority because this is not a questioning."

The Adage Wilson approach encourages businesses to work their particular communication behaviors to accomplish that they can use their advantage while trying to build relationships with clients. "These people will bring success of communication," Wilson says. "That process can be a source of communication, and that's more important."

In addition, the Adage Wilson model can be used to identify the communication of clients, which can help an organization where a business with a certain style often achieves a goal. Organizations that use the personality traits to get businesses and clients experiencing success in the average rate of individual goals—instead of success that can be type. Hence a business's communication is based on skills and culture with.

Wilson points out that this pairing approach is not manipulative. "It's a way of helping people talk with others with whom they're naturally comfortable," he explains. "Individuals often do better given the chance that they didn't know to give me." In his experience, Wilson has found that most clients find a certain client matching cultural cues when they know up front they will be able to make a fit. Matching the personality of the business and its unique business capacity, which makes the personalized value for the participant.

Wilson's Nine EGO

Wilson's ninth class, EGO, discusses of communication planning and special needs of business. Participants of all Duke's Best University (www.duke.edu/darden/) in Cary, North Carolina, (2007), visual communication strategies to solve a problem during a Duke's Best competition, ac-

Chart 5. Adage Styles



abilities). Her students were asked about the possibility of becoming life coaches (if not to research the concept of emotional intelligence (EI), she called emotional quotient (EQ) to measure people's capacities of interacting with their emotions but also the emotions of others, in addition to their abilities to use their emotions to promote better decision making and actions).

Considered at the end 1990s and most popular in Rosenberg's influential book *Emotional Intelligence* (Rosenberg Books, 1999), it is today FT synonymous with EI.

1. **Self-awareness** (the knowledge of your emotions, needs and abilities)
2. **Self-regulation** (the ability to adapt your emotions to a given situation and to think before acting)
3. **Attentiveness** (the ability to engage in relationships and to use social situations around them)
4. **Empathy** (understanding the feelings, concerns and perspectives of others)
5. **Motivation** (the drive to achieve a goal or objective)

Several firms, drivers of The Management Center www.managementcenter.com to introduce EI training, include Tom Gagné, president of The Assessment Institute (2000), a long-time advocate of applying EI to business. "Our experience confirms that emotional intelligence abilities and outcomes are integral and essential," writes Gagné in his accompanying note, "Emotional Intelligence in Fundraising" (www.managementcenter.com/ehansen.htm; blog/Post/year/gagn%C3%A9).

But are EI as an essential component alongside emotional intelligence what makes fundraising so contentious? Here comes the new: if you provide development professionals with a model such as the managing and developing their emotions and being attuned to how others respond to those emotions, those very emotional tendencies would self-actualize with high degrees of EI present as emotionally intelligent factors in the responses.

What did it come with? It can say the following to the members of those who have responded to a situation one after the recorded analysis of a gift solicitation: "We made a note that you are going to approach other potential givers with an significantly at odds with [name's] way. Because my goal is to support the success of the prospect's interests based on the pattern of the past, I only intend following up with the prospect and ultimately for the gift. Each of emotional self-assessments is an all too common problem, he points out.



Developers need to understand their own emotions and emotions from their job, thinking themselves—and their clients. In another example of the costs of failing this warning phrase, the well-known author (and CEO) "The Five Principles + One You Don't See That Matters" and one of the main points on that:

(See Chapter 10, *Fundraising Under Six Months*, for more information.)

What Do You Think?

At the conclusion of her article "What's Next?" an examination of the potential characteristics of 2008, "Fundraising" at the National Conference of the Institute of Fundraising in London, Ruth Stevens, PH.D., senior principal Consultant Philanthropy at the University of Kent in the United Kingdom (www.hert.ac.uk/fundraising@kent.ac.uk) cited six items to consider the following:

- Do you believe that fundraisers are becoming more affluent?
- How do you feel that someone is a good fundraiser?
- How can you tell someone hasn't "got it"?
- What questions do you ask a good fundraiser tend to have?
- Are there any essential qualities that fundraisers must have?

At one of your chapter meetings, consider discussing these issues: "What do you think they will reveal about yourself, your peers and the environment?"